Tidally-generated internal waves and mixing in the ocean

> Sonya Legg Princeton University

Tides and winds provide mechanical energy for ocean mixing



Tides supply ~50% of energy used for mixing in open ocean. Much of this energy passes through the internal wave field.

Coarse resolution ocean climate models must include parameterizations of tidal mixing.

Munk and Wunsch, 1998

Internal wave driven mixing pathways



Winds, tides and subinertial flow generate internal waves, which propagate, and eventually break. Some of the wave energy leads to mixing across density surfaces (diapycnal mixing), both near and far from the wave generation site.

MacKinnon et al, 2017, BAMS Internal wave driven mixing Climate Process Team

An energetically consistent framework for parameterizing tidal mixing



Local and remote dissipation: globally require $\int \varepsilon dV = \frac{1}{\rho} \int E(x, y) dx dy$

Outline $\kappa = \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma}{N^2}$ $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\rho} E(x, y) \cdot q \cdot F(z)$

Break down the components of this parameterization and its improvements

- Tracer diffusivity expressed in terms of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation (Osborn, 1980): $\kappa = \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma}{N^2}$
- Energy conversion from barotropic to baroclinic tides: E(x, y)
- Local breaking of internal tides: q, F(z)
- Farfield breaking of internal tides: (1 q)
- Toward a global parameterization: $\kappa(x, y, z, t)$
- Impact of tidally-driven mixing parameterizations on ocean circulation and climate

Tracer diffusivity from turbulent kinetic energy budget (Osborn, 1980)

TKE eqn:
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{u_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\frac{\overline{u_i'^2}}{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(F_{i,j}) - \epsilon + P + B$$

$$F_{i,j} = \left(-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\overline{u_i'p'}\delta_{i,j} + \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\frac{\overline{u_i'^2}}{2} - \overline{u_j'u_i'u_i'}\right) \text{ Transport}$$

$$\epsilon = \nu \, \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j}\right)^2}$$

Dissipation

For steady state, closed volume: $\epsilon = P + B$

 $P = -\overline{u_j' u_i'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \overline{u_i}$

Shear production

Define flux Richardson number $R_f = -\frac{B}{P}$

$$B = \overline{b'w'} = -\kappa_b \frac{\partial \overline{b}}{\partial z} = -\kappa_b N^2 \text{ where } \kappa_b \text{ is the eddy diffusivity of buoyancy.}$$
$$\kappa_b = \frac{R_f}{(1-R_f)} \frac{\epsilon}{N^2} = \Gamma \frac{\epsilon}{N^2} \text{ where } \Gamma = \text{mixing efficiency.}$$

 $\mathsf{B} = \overline{b'w'}$

Buoyant production

For stratified turbulence, $\Gamma \approx 0.2$ (subject of active research, see Chris Howland's poster).

Energy conversion from barotropic to baroclinic tide: Governing parameters for tidal flow over topography

Topography: height h, width L, depth HFlow: speed U, oscillation frequency ω Others: coriolis f, buoyancy frequency N

Nondimensional parameters Wave slope $s = \frac{k}{m} = \left(\frac{\omega^2 - f^2}{N^2 - \omega^2}\right)^{1/2}$





Garrett and Kunze, 2007

Generation of linear internal waves by flow over topography

3-dimensional inviscid Boussinesq equations, with buoyancy and rotation.

$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{u}}{Dt} + \boldsymbol{f} \times \boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} + b\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$$
$$\frac{Db}{Dt} = 0$$
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

Consider perturbations about a state with oscillating horizontal flow:

 $U(t)=U_0\cos(\omega t)$; stable linear stratification: $b = N^2 z$; and f=0 (for convenience).

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}' = -\frac{\nabla p'}{\rho_0} + b' \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} b' + N^2 w' = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}' = 0 \end{cases}$$

For flow over topography h(x), $w'(t, x) = U(t) \frac{dh}{dx}$ bottom boundary condition is: Bell, 1974

Weak topography approximation: boundary condition is applied at z=0not z=h(x).

Internal tide generation schematic

Sinusoidal topography

 $U_0 \cos(\omega t)$



Acoustic limit

If
$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t} >> U_0 \cos(\omega t) \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} \to \frac{U_0 k}{\omega} << 1$$

Then we can simplify equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{u}' = -\frac{\nabla p'}{\rho_0} + b'\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b' + N^2w' = 0$$
$$\nabla \boldsymbol{u}' = 0$$

Wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \nabla^2 w' + N^2 \nabla_H^2 w' = 0 \qquad w(z=0) = h_0 U_0 \cos(\omega t) k \cos(kx)$$



Satisfies boundary conditions, and has upward group velocity

Acoustic limit energy flux <w'p'>:

$$E_{f} = \frac{1}{4} \rho_{0} \omega^{-1} \left[\left(N^{2} - \omega^{2} \right) \left(\omega^{2} - f^{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} k U_{0}^{2} h_{0}^{2}$$

Full linear internal tide solution (Bell (1974) solution)

$$w = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{n_N} n\omega J_n \left(\frac{kU_0}{\omega}\right) h_0 \operatorname{Re}\left[\exp(i(k\zeta - mz - n\omega t)) + \exp(i(k\zeta + mz + n\omega t))\right]$$

$$\zeta = x - \frac{U_0}{\omega} \sin(\omega t)$$
 $J_n =$ Bessel function of order n

,

Solution consists of fundamental frequency ω and higher harmonics $n\omega$

$$E_{f} = \rho_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{N}} n \omega \left[\left(N^{2} - n^{2} \omega^{2} \right) \left(n^{2} \omega^{2} - f^{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} k^{-1} J_{n}^{2} \left(\frac{U_{0} k}{\omega} \right) h_{0}^{2}$$

where n_N is the largest integer < N/ ω

For small kU/
$$\omega$$
 $J_n \left(\frac{kU_0}{\omega}\right) \approx \left(\frac{kU_0}{2\omega}\right)^n / n$

So if $kU/\omega <<1$, then fundamental dominates and

$$E_{f} \approx \frac{1}{4} \rho_{0} \omega^{-1} \left[\left(N^{2} - \omega^{2} \right) \left(\omega^{2} - f^{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} k U_{0}^{2} h_{0}^{2} \qquad \approx \frac{1}{4} N k U_{0}^{2} h_{0}^{2}$$
for $N \gg \omega \gg f$

Barotropic to baroclinic energy conversion: comparison with observations

$$E(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 N_b k h^2 \left\langle u^2 \right\rangle$$

Jayne and St Laurent, 2001

Energy conversion from barotropic to baroclinic tide, from parameterization.

Energy loss from M2 tide, deduced from Topex-Poseidon SST: frictional dissipation in shallow seas, conversion to baroclinic tide in deep ocean.



Extensions to energy conversion theoretical predictions

Generalize linear theory for arbitrary topographic shape and finite ocean depth H

$$P = \int E_f dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \rho_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} n \omega \left[\left(N^2 - n^2 \omega^2 \right) \left(n^2 \omega^2 - f^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \frac{|\hat{h}(k_{jn})|^2}{j} J_n^2 \left(\frac{U_0 k_{jn}}{\omega} \right)$$
$$\hat{h}(k_{jn}) = \text{fourier transform topography} \quad k_{jn} = \frac{j\pi}{H} \left[\frac{n^2 \omega^2 - f^2}{N^2 - n^2 \omega^2} \right]^{1/2} \text{ Resonant wavenumbers}$$

Knatiwala (2003), Liewellyn Smith and Young (2002)

Steep topography:
$$\gamma \geq 1$$

Relaxing linearization of bottom boundary condition (but retaining linearized NS eqns) (Balmforth and Peacock, 2009)

Normalized energy flux

Suppressed energy conversion for steep topography included in global parameterization of tidal mixing at smallscale abyssal hills by Melet et al, 2013.



Examples of solutions in linear regime



 $h = h_0 \exp\left(\frac{-(x - x_0)^2}{2L^2}\right)$

 h_0 =200m, L=10,000m H=4700m, ω =1.41s⁻¹



For $U_0/(\omega L) << 1$, spectrum is dominated by forcing frequency

Simulations with MITgcm, Legg and Huijts, 2006

Examples of solutions with $\gamma > 1$, increasing RL=U/(L ω): Generation of higher harmonics

Baroclinic velocity snapshots for low, narrow topography (Legg and Huijts, 2006)



More beams, at steeper angles, corresponding to 2ω , 3ω , 4ω appear as U0 increases.



When and where do tidally-generated internal waves break?

• Linear theory can provide a guide to the energy converted from barotropic tide to internal waves, but turbulence and mixing only occur if the waves break – a nonlinear process.

• Waves break if
$$Fr = \frac{U}{c} > 1$$
 or $Ri < O\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$

• Waves can break if amplitudes increase or vertical length-scales decrease.

Numerical simulations are essential for understanding the fully-nonlinear wave-breaking regime

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\rho} E(x, y) \cdot q \cdot F(z)$$

First, focus on wave breaking at generation site: q, F(z).

Local dissipation at small amplitude rough topography

Diffusivity inferred from microstructure observations (Brazil Basin)





Comparison between observed and simulated dissipation for tidal flow over rough topography *(Nikurashin and Legg, 2011).*

What is responsible for mixing well above bottom boundary?

Local dissipation at small scale rough topography: wave-wave interaction



Wave zonal velocity (ms⁻¹): linear solution (Bell, 1975) (top), snapshots after 5 days (middle) and after 19 days (bottom) of simulation.

Nikurashin and Legg, 2011

frequency ω to lower frequencies f and ω -f.

These frequencies may have higher vertical wave numbers and hence greater shear.

Local dissipation at small-scale rough topography: Dissipation is enhanced by wave-wave interactions

Dissipation profile is sensitive to Coriolis and topographic wavelength (constant forcing and topographic height)



(Yi, Legg and Nazarian, 2017)

Local dissipation at small-scale rough topography: Wave-wave interaction regimes depend non-monotonically on topographic steepness



No enhancement of dissipation at critical latitude when $\gamma = 1.71$

Wave-wave interactions reduce Richardson number at critical latitude



Local dissipation at small-scale rough topography: Wave-wave interaction regimes depend on topographic steepness



energy conversion

Subcritical topography: dissipation decreases with increasing wavelength, peaks around critical latitude.

Supercritical topography: little dependence of dissipation on wavelength or Coriolis.

1.00 At subcritical topography, resonant triad interactions at critical latitude lead to enhanced dissipation.

Local dissipation: internal wave breaking near a tall steep generation site

Observed dissipation at Hawaiian ridge



Legg and Klymak, 2008

Buoyancy field forced by M2

barotropic tide

Wave breaking occurs in transient internal hydraulic jumps, when $\gamma > 1$, and topography is large: $\frac{Nh}{U} > 1$

Local dissipation at a tall steep generation site



$$q = \frac{local\ dissipation}{energy\ conversion} \sim U$$



Horizontally integrated dissipation

F(z): vertical distribution decay scale is proportional to $\lambda_0=2\pi U/N$. Dissipation maximum located -0.15 λ_0 below the topographic peak; decays exponentially above and below.

Local dissipation at tall steep topography: Interaction between neighboring ridges



What happens to propagating low modes?



Low modes radiate away, possibly eventually scattering from distant topography

Even at Luzon Straits $q = \frac{local \ dissipation}{energy \ conversion} < 50\%$

Most internal wave energy propagates away from generation site

Low-mode scattering from topography: dependence on topographic steepness



(Wunsch, 1969; Ivey and Nokes, 1989)

Low-mode topographic scattering: Supercritical topography



Low-mode topographic scattering Near-critical topography

Critical slope: $\alpha = \theta$: energy incident on slope is scattered into very high wavenumbers along slope.

Dissipation increases approximately linearly with h/H.





Up to 100% of incoming energy is dissipated along the slope, independent of wave amplitude.

Low-mode topographic scattering Subcritical topography

 $\theta > \alpha$: All incoming energy is reflected to shallower depths. Froude number Fr = U/C_p increases as depth decreases.

Wave breaks if $Fr_{max} = Fr_0 \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{h}{H_0}\right)^2} > Fr_{crit}$

Time-averaged dissipation, scaled by U²/T





Up to 100% of incoming energy is dissipated, through whole water column once critical Fr is exceeded.

Does it matter where tidally-driven ocean mixing happens?

Melet et al, 2016

Climate model thought experiment: examine impact of different idealized horizontal distributions of remote dissipation, dividing ocean into 3 zones



Remote dissipation parameterization: Assign constant value of q_r for each zone Slopes Slope > 0.01. Wave reflection/scattering Basins Depth >500m Wave-wave interactions Continental shelves Wave shoaling



- GFDL ESM2G 1000-year simulations with 1860 forcing include St Laurent et al (2002) representation of local tidal dissipation, with 20% dissipated locally.
- Remaining 80% dissipated in 1 of 3 zones.
- Reference experiment: 20% local, 80% dissipated via uniform $\kappa = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} m^2 s^{-1}$

Influence of horizontal location of mixing on Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation





The current best estimate of contributions of different processes to internal tidedriven mixing

(de Lavergne et al, 2019). Calculated using global 3D raytracing and WOCE climatology. Too expensive to calculate during run-time of a global model

Theoretical tidally-generated dissipation compares reasonably well with observational fine-structure estimates of dissipation



Summary $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\rho} E(x, y) \cdot q \cdot F(z)$

- Representation of tracer diffusivity in terms of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation- $\kappa = \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma}{N^2}$: allows formulation of an energetically consistent global parameterization
- Energy conversion from barotropic to baroclinic tides: E(x, y): depends on topographic amplitude and shape, tidal flow, stratification
- Local breaking of internal tides: q, F(z): influenced by PSI, transient hydraulic jumps, topographic height and steepness, latitude
- Farfield breaking of internal tides: (1 q): topographic scattering from continental slope topography
- Toward a global parameterization: $\kappa(x, y, z, t)$: must account for all of the above and more: generation, local breaking, propagation, farfield breaking.
- Impact of tidally-driven mixing parameterizations on ocean circulation and climate: Spatial distribution of tidally-driven mixing can lead to 10-25% changes in AMOC